CENTER FOR RECONSTRUCTIVE URETHRAL SURGERY

GUIDO BARBAGLI, M.D. Arezzo - Italy

e-mail: info@urethralcenter.it



Centro Chirurgico Toscano – Arezzo - Italy



e-mail: info@urethralcenter.it

La ricostruzione dell'uretra con ingegneria tissutale: la nostra esperienza in Germania



e-mail: info@urethralcenter.it



Prof. G. Barbagli - Dr. G. Romano – Dr. M. Lazzeri Center for Reconstructive Urethral Surgery – Arezzo - Italy





Dresden - Germany

UroTiss GmbH è una compagnia farmaceutica, fondata in Germania nel 2005 da Dr. Gouya Ram-Liebig e Dr. Soeren Liebig. UroTiss fornisce prodotti di alta qualità e sicurezza, in accordo con le attuali Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP).

www.urotiss.com

Email: g.ram-liebig@urotiss.com



e-mail: info@urethralcenter.it

Prelievo di biopsia (0.4 cm x 0,8 cm) di mucosa orale dalla guancia in anestesia locale.





Il prelievo è trasferito in un contenitore con terreno di cultura per cellule ed inviato al laboratorio.





e-mail: info@urethralcenter.it





Laboratorio in accordo con le attuali Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP).



e-mail: info@urethralcenter.it



Le cellule di mucosa orale vengono coltivate e distribuite su una "impalcatura" (scaffold) biocompatibile (?).



e-mail: info@urethralcenter.it





48 ore per il trapianto



e-mail: info@urethralcenter.it

AUA 2014

Preclinical and clinical examination of tissue-engineered graft for urethral reconstruction (MukoCell®) with regard to its safety

Massimo Lazzeri¹, Guido Barbagli¹, Dirk Fahlenkamp², Giuseppe Romano³, Ulf Balsmeyer², Helmut Knispel⁴, Maria-Elsa Spiegeler⁴, Burkard Stuerzebecher⁴, and Gouya Ram-Liebia⁵

(1) 1 Centre for Reconstructive Urethral Surgery, Arezzo, Italy (2) Zeisigwald Clinics Bethanien, Department of Urology, Chemnitz, Germany (3) San Donato Hospital, Department of Urology, Arezzo, Italy (4) St. Hedwig Krankenhaus, Department of Urology, Berlin, Germany (5) UroTiss GmbH, Dresden, Germany

I. Introduction

MukoCell[®] is a national authorized, autologous tissue-engineered oral mucosa graft. The present report sums up some of MukoCell[®]' s preclinical safety data. Additional reported data of 70 patients, treated with MukoCell[®], are also considered with regards to safety analysis.





Fig. 1: Patient's oral mucosa cells are generated from a small oral mucosa biopsy (A) and cultured on the surface of a biocompatible scaffold (B).

II. Methods

For MukoCell[®] production, patient's oral mucosa cells were generated from a small oral mucosa biopsy and cultured on the surface of a biocompatible scaffold (Fig. 1).

The tumorigenic potential of MukoCell[®] was examined in vivo. For this purpose, human cultured cells of 4 different runs were injected by intraperitoneal and subcutaneous route into each of ten immunodeficient athymic nude mice. $4x10^7$ cells $\pm 2 \times 10^6$ cells were injected into each animal on Days 1, 18, 25 and 46 of the study. An additional group consisting of ten animals each received cell culture medium as vehicle control (Table 1).

To examine the potential migration of cells into distant organs, murine MukoCell® constructs from eGFP-transgenic mice were implanted into peritoneal cavity of histocompatible nontransgenic mice and vice versa. The 24 test animals were sacrificed either at weeks 1, 2, 4 or 12 for histological analysis (Table 2).

To investigate the degradation of implanted MukoCell[®] with time, scaffolds with the size of 0.5 x 1.5 cm were implanted into the peritoneal cavity of 20 BALBc/C57BL6J mices.

Additionally, reported clinical safety data from 70 MukoCell®-treated patients with urethral stricture, which have been recruited in an ongoing observational study with up to 2 year follow-up period, were evaluated on the basis of a pharmacivigilance system. Ethical committee votum was available for the study.

Table 1	. Experiment	al grou ps ilocated	l during the tur	nourigenicity s	tudy
Group	No. of animals	ltem	Injection on days ^{a)}	Injection volume (i.p. s.c.) [μL]	Total no. of + cells at each c
1a	5	Test items (n=	4)1, 18, 25, 46	200 + 200	10 ⁷ ± 2 x 10 ⁶
1b	5	Test items (n=	4)1, 18, 25, 46	200 +200	10 ⁷ ± 2 x 10 ⁶
2a	5	Control item	1, 18, 25, 46	200 + 200	-
2b	5	Control item	1, 18, 25, 46	200 + 200	-

III. Tables

Notice^{*}) Cell preparations generated independently from four different runs were separate cell preparation was used on ealibringey.

Table 2. Allocation and treatment of animals in the biodistribution study

Group	No. of	Donor	Recipient	Sacrifice
(Cage)	animals	for engineered tissue graft	of engineered tissue graft	after implantation week
A-1 (11/5/Q)	3	EGFP-tg	nontg	after 1 week
A-2 (11/6/0)	3	EGFP-tg	nontg	after 2 weeks
A-3 (11/7/Q)	3	EGFP-tg	nontg	after 4 weeks
A-4 (11/8/0)	3	EGFP-tg	nontg	after 3 months
reserve anim (11/H3/0)	aL	EGFP-tg	nontg	
B-1 (11/1/Q)	3	nontg	EGFP-tg	after 1 week
B-2 (11/2/Q)	3	nontg	EGFP-tg	after 2 weeks
B-3 (11/3/Q)	3	nontg	EGFP-tg	after 4 weeks
B-4 (11/4/Q)	3	nontg	EGFP-tg	after 3 months
reserve anim (11/H1/9)	alL	nontg	EGFP-tg	

Notice: Material used for histology after sacrifice: Brain (cerebrum, cerebellum, brain stem, paraventricular parts); heart; kidneys;

large intestine (caecum, colon, rectum); liver; lung; lymph nodes (mesenteric)

intestine; (duodenum, jejunum, ileum) / peyer plaques; spleen; thymus; transplants (including surrounding tissue)

IV. Results

Evaluation of tumorigenicity study in nude mice did not reveal macroscopic and microscopic malignancies attributable to MukoCell[®] in 60 different examined tissues and organs. Additionally. migration of the transplanted cells into distant organs was excluded at all examined time intervals after implantation of murine homologue of MukoCell[®]. While the grafts were still present in all 10 animals 9 days after implantation. 6 of 10 grafts were degraded 40 days after implantation in the remaining 10 animals. Clinical data of 70 with MukoCell[®] treated patients demonstrated no peri- or postoperative adverse events related to MukoCell[®].

V. Conclusion

MukoCell[®] seems to be a safe graft for urethroplasty for patients with urethral stricture. The graft is degrading within a few weeks and hence avoids complication associated with persistent implants.

MukoCell® is an autologous tissueengineered oral mucosa graft

AUA 2014 MP9 – Abstract ID: 14-578

Tumorigenic study:

- cultured cells of human donors were injected by intraperitoneal and subcutaneous route into each of ten immunodeficient athymic nude mice.
- 4x107 cells ± 2 × 106 cells were injected into each animal on Days 1, 18, 25 and 46 of the study.
- An additional group consisting of ten animals each received cell culture medium as vehicle control

Results: No macroscopic and microscopic malignancies attributable to MukoCell® in 60 different examined tissues and organs.

Biodistribution study:

- Murine MukoCell[®] constructs from eGFP-transgenic mice were implanted into peritoneal cavity of histocompatible non-transgenic mice and vice versa.
- The 24 test animals were sacrificed either at weeks 1, 2, 4 or 12 for histological analysis

Results: No migration of the transplanted cells into distant organs.



Degradation study:

• 0.5 x 1.5 cm MukoCell® scaffolds were implanted into the peritoneal cavity of 20 female BALBc/C57BL6J mices

Results: 60% of the grafts were degraded 40 days after implantation.

Clinical observational study:

• data from 70 MukoCell®-treated patients with urethral stricture, with up to 2 year followup period, were evaluated

Results: No peri- or post-operative adverse events related to MukoCell[®].



AUA 2014

MP9 – Abstract ID: 14-578

Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews 82-83 (2015) 181-191



Regulatory challenges for autologous tissue engineered products on their way from bench to bedside in Europe $\overset{\sim}{\asymp}$



Gouya Ram-Liebig^{a,*}, Juergen Bednarz^a, Burkard Stuerzebecher^b, Dirk Fahlenkamp^c, Guido Barbagli^d, Giuseppe Romano^e, Ulf Balsmeyer^c, Maria-Elsa Spiegeler^b, Soeren Liebig^a, Helmut Knispel^b

^a UroTiss GmbH, Dresden, Germany

- ^b St. Hedwig Hospital, Department of Urology, Berlin, Germany
- ^c Zeisigwald Clinics Bethanien, Department of Urology, Chemnitz, Germany
- ^d Centro Chirurgico Toscana, Arezzo, Italy
- ^e Urology Unit, Ospedale del Valdarno, Santa Maria alla Gruccia, Montevarchi-Arezzo, Italy



Uso del MuKoCell in pazienti tedeschi















































Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews 82-83 (2015) 181-191



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/addr

Regulatory challenges for autologous tissue engineered products on their way from bench to bedside in Europe $\overset{\,\triangleleft}{\sim}$



DRUG DELIVERY

Gouya Ram-Liebig ^{a,*}, Juergen Bednarz ^a, Burkard Stuerzebecher ^b, Dirk Fahlenkamp ^c, Guido Barbagli ^d, Giuseppe Romano ^e, Ulf Balsmeyer ^c, Maria-Elsa Spiegeler ^b, Soeren Liebig ^a, Helmut Knispel ^b

^a UroTiss GmbH, Dresden, Germany

- ^b St. Hedwig Hospital, Department of Urology, Berlin, Germany
- ^c Zeisigwald Clinics Bethanien, Department of Urology, Chemnitz, Germany

^d Centro Chirurgico Toscana, Arezzo, Italy

^e Urology Unit, Ospedale del Valdarno, Santa Maria alla Gruccia, Montevarchi-Arezzo, Italy

21 PAZIENTI: 17 (80.9%) successo 4 (19.1%) fallimento



Esperienza clinica con MuKocell in Germania



MuKoCell: vantaggi



Sede di prelievo



e-mail: info@urethralcenter.it

MuKoCell: vantaggi

EUROPEAN UROLOGY 62 (2012) 1063-1070

available at www.sciencedirect.com journal homepage: www.europeanurology.com





Platinum Priority – Infections Editorial by Guido Barbagli, Salvatore Sansalone and Massimo Lazzeri on pp. 1071–1073 of this issue

Oral Mucosa as a Reservoir of Human Papillomavirus: Point Prevalence, Genotype Distribution, and Incident Infections Among Males in a 7-year Prospective Study

Katja Kero^{a,*}, Jaana Rautava^b, Kari Syrjänen^{c,d}, Seija Grenman^a, Stina Syrjänen^b

^a Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Turku University Hospital, University of Turku, Turku, Finland; ^b MediCity Research Laboratory and Department of Oral Pathology, Institute of Dentistry, Faculty of Medicine, University of Turku, Department of Pathology, Turku University Hospital, Turku, Finland; ^c Department of Oncology and Radiotherapy, Turku University Hospital, Turku, Finland; ^d Teaching and Research Institute, Barretos Cancer Hospital, Barretos-SP, Brazil

Prevenzione infezione da HPV o altro



MuKoCell: vantaggi

EUROPEAN UROLOGY 52 (2012) 1071-1075

available at www.sciencedirect.com journal homepage: www.europeanurology.com





Platinum Priority – Editorial and Reply from Authors Referring to the article published on pp. 1063–1070 of this issue

Oral Mucosa and Urethroplasty: It's Time to Change

Guido Barbagli^a, Salvatore Sansalone^b, Massimo Lazzeri^{c,*}

^a Centre for Reconstructive Urethral Surgery, Arezzo, Italy; ^bDepartment of Surgical Sciences, School of Medicine, Tor Vergata University, Rome, Italy; ^cDepartment of Urology, University Hospital San Raffaele, Milan, Italy

Prevenzione infezione da HPV o altro





Costi: 7.500 / 9.500 Euro

Utilizzo: autorizzato solo in Germania

Logistica: deve essere impiantato entro 48 ore

Risultati: inferiori a quelli ottenuti con mucosa orale (80.9% vs 85.5%)



MuKoCell: svantaggi e limiti



Oral mucosa



Tissue engineered oral mucosa

Impiego: richiede notevole esperienza



e-mail: info@urethralcenter.it

Stenosi "semplici" dell'uretra



Risultati: 85% con mucosa orale



e-mail: info@urethralcenter.it

Stenosi "complesse" dell'uretra



Risultati: < 50% con mucosa orale



e-mail: info@urethralcenter.it





EUROPEAN UROLOGY 68 (2015) 917-918

available at www.sciencedirect.com journal homepage: www.europeanurology.com



Platinum Opinion

Clinical Experience with Urethral Reconstruction Using Tissue-engineered Oral Mucosa: A Quiet Revolution

Guido Barbagli^a, Massimo Lazzeri^{b,*}

^a Centro Chirurgico Toscano, Arezzo, Italy; ^b Department of Urology, Humanitas Clinical and Research Centre, Humanitas University, Rozzano (Milan), Italy

